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Abstract: Mining high utility itemsets from transactional information refers to the invention of itemsets with high utility like profits. Though varieties of relevant algorithms are planned in recent years, they incur the matter of manufacturing an oversized range of candidate itemsets for prime utility itemsets. Such an oversized range of candidate itemsets degrades the mining performance in terms of execution time and house demand. The case might deteriorate once the information contains ample long transactions or long high utility itemsets. During this paper, we have a tendency to propose two algorithms, particularly utility pattern growth (UP-Growth) and UP-Growth+, for mining high utility itemsets with a collection of effective methods for pruning candidate itemsets. The data of high utility itemsets is maintained in an exceedingly tree-based system named utility pattern tree (UP-Tree) such candidate itemsets will be generated with efficiency with solely 2 scans of information. The performance of UP-Growth and UP-Growth+ is compared with the progressive algorithms on many varieties of each real and artificial information sets. Experimental results show that the planned algorithms, particularly UP-Growth+, not solely scale back the quantity of candidates effectively however conjointly exceed different algorithms considerably in terms of runtime, particularly once databases contain ample long transactions. In this project we are presenting new approach which is extending these algorithms to overcome the limitations using the MapReduce framework on Hadoop.
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I. Introduction

Association rules mining (ARM) [1] is one of the most widely used techniques in data mining and knowledge discovery and has tremendous applications like business, science and other domains. Make the decisions about marketing activities such as, e.g., promotional pricing or product placements. A high utility itemset is defined as: A group of items in a transaction database is called itemset. This itemset in a transaction database consists of two aspects: First one is itemset in a single transaction is called internal utility and second one is itemset in different transaction database is called external utility. The transaction utility of an itemset is defined as the multiplication of external utility by the internal utility. By transaction utility, transaction weight utilizations (TWU) can be found. To call an itemset as high utility itemset only if its utility is not less than a user specified minimum support threshold utility value; otherwise itemset is treated as low utility itemset. To generate these high utility itemsets mining recently in 2010, UP - Growth (Utility Pattern Growth) algorithm [2] was proposed by Vincent S. Tseng et al. for discovering high utility itemsets and a tree based data structure called UP - Tree (Utility Pattern tree) which efficiently maintains the information of transaction database related to the utility patterns. Four strategies (DGU, DGN, DLU, and DLN) used for efficient construction of UP - Tree and the processing in UP - Growth [11]. By applying these strategies, can not only efficiently decrease the estimated utilities of the potential high utility itemsets (PHUI) but also effectively reduce the number of candidates but this algorithm takes more execution time for phase II (identify local utility itemsets) and I/O cost.

Efficient discovery of frequent itemsets in large datasets is a crucial task of data mining. In recent years, several approaches have been proposed for generating high utility patterns; they arise the problems of producing a large number of candidate itemsets for high utility itemsets and probably degrade mining performance in terms of speed and space. Mining high utility itemsets from a transactional database refers to the discovery of itemsets with high utility like profits. Although a number of relevant approaches have been proposed in recent years, they incur the problem of producing a large number of candidate itemsets for high utility itemsets. Such a large number of candidate itemsets degrades the mining performance in terms of execution time and space requirement. The situation may become worse when the database contains lots of long transactions or long high utility itemsets. Existing studies applied overestimated methods to facilitate the performance of utility mining. In these methods, potential high utility itemsets (PHUIs) are found first, and then an additional database scan is performed for identifying their utilities. However, existing methods often generate a huge set of PHUIs and their mining performance is degraded consequently. This situation may become worse when databases contain many long transactions or low thresholds are set. The huge number of PHUIs forms a
challenging problem to the mining performance since the more PHUIs the algorithm generates, the higher processing time it consumes. To provide the efficient solution to mine the large transactional datasets, recently improved methods presented in [1]. In [1], authors presented propose two novel algorithms as well as a compact data structure for efficiently discovering high utility itemsets from transactional databases. Experimental results show that UP-Growth and UP-Growth+ outperform other algorithms substantially in terms of execution time. But these algorithms further needs to be extend so that system with less memory will also able to handle large datasets efficiently. The algorithms presented in [1] are practically implemented with memory 3.5 GB, but if memory size is 2 GB or below, the performance will again degrade in case of time. In this project we are presenting new approach which is extending these algorithms to overcome the limitations using the MapReduce framework on Hadoop.

II. LITERATURE SURVEY

In this section we are presented the review of different methods presented for mining high utility itemsets from the transactional datasets.


- Cai et al. and Tao et al. first proposed the concept of weighted items and weighted association rules [5]. However, since the framework of weighted association rules does not have downward closure property, mining performance cannot be improved. To address this problem, Tao et al. proposed the concept of weighted downward closure property [12]. By using transaction weight, weighted support can not only reflect the importance of an itemset but also maintain the downward closure property during the mining process.

- Liu et al. proposed an algorithm named Two-Phase [8] which is mainly composed of two mining phases. In phase I, it employs an Apriori-based level-wise method to enumerate HTWUIs. Candidate itemsets with length k are generated from length k-1 HTWUIs, and their TWUs are computed by scanning the database once in each pass. After the above steps, the complete set of HTWUIs is collected in phase I. In phase II, HTWUIs that are high utility itemsets are identified with an additional database scan. Ahmed et al. [13] proposed a tree-based algorithm, named IHUP. A tree based structure called IHUP-Tree is used to maintain the information about itemsets and their utilities.

- Cai et al. first proposed the concept of weighted items and weighted association rules [4]. However, since the framework of weighted association rules does not have downward closure property, mining performance cannot be improved. To address this problem, Tao et al. proposed the concept of weighted downward closure property [28].

There are also many studies [6], [26], [37] that have developed different weighting functions for weighted pattern mining. Survey on The MapReduce Framework for Handling Big Datasets [21] Google's MapReduce [25] was first proposed in 2004 for massive parallel data analysis in shared-nothing clusters.

Literature [26] evaluates the performance in Hadoop/HBase for Electroencephalogram (EEG) data and saw promising performance regard less latency and throughput. Karim et al. [27] proposed a Hadoop/MapReduce framework for mining maximal contiguous frequent patterns (which was first introduced at literature in RDBMS/single processor-main memory based computing) from the large DNA sequence dataset and showed outstanding performance in terms of throughput and scalability [21].

Literature [28] proposes a MapReduce framework for mining-correlated, associated-correlated and independent patterns synchronously by using the improved parallel FP-growth on Hadoop from transactional databases for the first times ever. Although it shows better performance, however, it also did not consider the overhead of null transactions. Woo et al. [29], [30], proposed market basket analysis algorithm that runs on Hadoop based traditional Map Reduce framework with transactional dataset stored on HDFS. This work presents a Hadoop and HBase schema to process transaction data for market basket analysis technique. First it sorts and converts the transaction dataset to <key, value> pairs, and stores the data back to the HBase or HDFS. However, sorting and grouping of items then storing back it to the original nodes does not take trivial time. Hence, it is not capable to find the result in a faster way; besides this work also not so useful to analyze the complete customer’s preference of purchase behavior or rules [21].

III. PROPOSED APPROACH FRAMEWORK AND DESIGN

In the literature we have studied the different methods proposed for high utility mining from large datasets. But all this methods frequently generate a huge set of PHUIs and their mining performance is degraded consequently. Further in case of long transactions in dataset or low thresholds are set, then this condition may become worst. The huge number of PHUIs forms a challenging problem to the mining performance since the
more PHUIs the algorithm generates, the higher processing time it consumes. Thus to overcome this challenges the efficient algorithms presented recently in [1]. These methods in [1] outperform the state-of-the-art algorithms almost in all cases on both real and synthetic data set. However this approach in [1] is still needs to be improved in case of less memory based systems. These methods are further needs to be improved over their limitations presented below:

- Performance of this methods needs to be investigated in low memory based systems for mining high utility itemsets from large transactional datasets and hence needs to address further as well.
- These proposed methods cannot overcome the screenings as well as overhead of null transactions; hence, performance degrades drastically.
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Fig1: Block Diagram

This is basic block diagram to represent the basic functionality of the system. To get the dataset file then calculate the profit value into quantity of item that representing the data set to find the Transactional utility. Then calculate transactional utility for each item to find the transactional weighted Utility. We have to give minimum support then check transactional weighted utility is less than equal to minimum support. Twu is less to remove the unpromising items these item also removing transactional utility, and they are arranged in descending order to get the reduce transactional utility. Then construct UP-Tree using RTU. To construct the UP-Tree to apply the two algorithm UP-Growth and UP-Growth+ to find the potential high utility itemsets.

IV. Proposed Solution

The recently methods presented for mining the high utility itemsets from large transactional datasets are subjected to some serious limitations such as performance of this methods needs to be investigated in low memory based systems for mining high utility itemsets from large transactional datasets and hence needs to address further as well. Another limitation is these proposed methods cannot overcome the screenings as well as overhead of null transactions; hence, performance degrades drastically. In this project we are presenting the new approach to overcome these limitations. We presented distributed programming model for mining business-oriented transactional datasets by using an improved MapReduce framework on Hadoop, which overcomes not only the single processor and main memory-based computing, but also highly scalable in terms of increasing database size. We have used this approach with existing UP-Growth and UP-Growth+ with aim of improving their performances further. In experimental studies we will compare the performances of existing algorithms UP-Growth and UP-Growth+ against the improve UP-Growth and UP-Growth+ with Hadoop. Also In this paper, UP-Tree (Utility Pattern Tree) is adopted, which scans database only twice to obtain candidate items and...
manage them in an efficient data structured way. Applying UP-Tree to the UP-Growth takes more execution time. Hence this paper presents modified algorithm named as IUPG(Improved UP-Growth) aiming to reduce the execution time by effectively identifying high utility itemsets.

4.1 Proposed Work

When data sets go beyond a single storage capacity, it is necessary to distribute them to multiple independent computers. Trans-computer network storage file management system is called distributed file system. A typical Hadoop distributed file system contains thousands of servers, each server stores partial data of file system.

Fig 2: System architecture

4.2 Aims and Objectives

In this project we have main aim is to present improved methods UP-Growth and UP-Growth+ with aim of improving its performance in terms of scalability and time:
- To present literature review different methods of frequent set mining over transactional datasets.
- To present the present new framework and methods.
- To present the practical simulation of proposed algorithms and evaluate its performances.
- To present the comparative analysis of existing and proposed algorithms in order to claim the efficiency.

4.3 Map Reduce Overview.

In distributed data storage, when parallel processing the data, we need to consider much, such as synchronization, concurrency, load balancing and other details of the underlying system. It makes the simple calculation become very complex. MapReduce programming model was proposed in 2004 by the Google, which is used in processing and generating large data sets implementation. This framework solves many problems, such as data distribution, job scheduling, fault tolerance, machine to machine communication, etc. MapReduce is applied in Google's Web search. Programmers need to write many programs for the specific purpose to deal with the massive data distributed and stored in the server cluster, such as crawled documents, web request logs, etc., in order to get the results of different data, such as inverted indices, web document, different views, worms collected the number of pages for each host a summary of a given date within the collection of the most common queries and so on.
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We use Two algorithms, named utility pattern growth (UPGrowth) and UP-Growth+, and a compact tree structure, called utility pattern tree (UP-Tree), for high utility itemsets discovery and to maintain important information related to utility patterns within databases. We use Two algorithms, named utility pattern growth (UPGrowth) and UP-Growth+, and a compact tree structure, called utility pattern tree (UP-Tree), for high utility itemsets discovery and to maintain important information related to utility patterns within databases.

UP-Growth algorithm
Input: UP-Tree, Header Table, minimum utility threshold, Item set = \{1, 2, \ldots\}.
Process:
1. For each entry in do
2. Trace links of each item. And calculate sum of node utility.
3. If \(\geq t\)
   4. Generate Potential High Utility Itemset (PHUI)
      \[ U \]
   5. Put Potential Utility of as approximated utility of
   6. Construct Conditional Pattern Based.
   7. Put local promising items into.
   8. Apply Discarding Local Unpromising (DLU) to minimize path utilities of paths.
   9. Apply DLU with \(h\) to insert path into.
10. If \(\not=\) then call to UP-Growth.
11. End if
12. End for.

Output: All PHUI's in

UP-Growth+ algorithm
UP-Growth+ algorithm
In UP-Growth, minimum item utility table is used to reduce the overestimated utilities. In UP-Growth+ algorithm, we replace Discarding Local Unpromising (DLU) with Discarding Node Utility (DNU). DLU is replace with Decreasing local Node utilities for the nodes of local UP-Tree (DNN) and Insert Recognized Path is replace by Insert Recognized Path in the data mining process, when a path is retrieved, minimal node utility of each node in the path is also retrieved. Thus, we can simply replace minimum item utility with minimal node utility as follows:
Assume, \(p\) is the path in item \(im - CPB\) and \(U1 im - CPB\) is the set of unpromising items in \(im - CPB\). The path utility of \(p\) in \(im - CPB\), i.e., \((p, im - CPB)\), \(im - CPB\), is recalculated as:
\[pu_p, im - CPB = p.im . nu - mu i \times p . count \]
\[\forall i \in U1 (im - CPB \not\subseteq p)\]
Where \(p . count\) is the support count of \(p\) in \(im - CPB\).
Assume, a reorganized path \(p = \langle N'i1, N'i2, \ldots, N'im \rangle\) in \(im - CPB\) inserted into \(\langle N'i1, N'i2, \ldots, N'im \rangle\) path in \(im - tree\). Thus node utility of item node is recalculated as:
\[Nik . nulew = Nik . nuold + pu_p, im - CPB - mu ij \times p . count \]
\[m' = j + 1\]
Where \(nuold\) is the node utility of \(Nik\) in \(im - tree\) before adding \(p\).
V. Implementation Detail And Result

In this section the Performance of the proposed algorithms evaluated[10]. The experiments were done on a 2.80 GHz Intel Pentium D Processor with 3.5 GB memory. The operating system is Microsoft Windows 7. The algorithms are implemented in Java language.

- First take input data set and show the data set file is represent the transaction id , items, quantity and next data is profit value of each item.
- To get the data set file and profit value to calculate first transaction utility that means items quantity into profit value of that item.
- Using that TU to calculate transactional weighted utility. that means the sum of the transaction utilities of all the transactions.
- We have to give the minimum support for TWU to check whether the min_sup is less than equal to transaction weighted utility TWU is less that means these are unpromising itemsets.
- Unpromising itemsets are remove items of transaction utility and TWU then to get the RTU.
- To finding the RTU to construct UP-Tree using two strategies DGU and DGN.
- Final output of that project to finding the potential high utility using two algorithm UP-Growth and UP-Growth+

Here we are using the Map Reduce Framework on hadoop for time consumption. In that framework we are applying the UP-Growth and UP-Growth+ algorithm to calculate the PHUI to calculate time efficiency.

5.1 Results

Practical work done is as shown in figure given below. Following figure shows the graphical representation of time verses algorithms. Performance is computed according to the time required for set of transactions.

![Figure 4: Performance comparison.](image-url)

In these graph result we have to calculate number of phui’s using UP-growth and UP-Growth+ algorithm.
These graph show the proposed system graph we have to find the comparison of that graph. In that graph two way to calculate time efficiency using hadoop and without time using hadoop.

VI. Conclusion And Future Work

In this paper, we have proposed two efficient algorithms named UP-Growth and UP-Growth+ for mining high utility itemsets from map reduce hadoop framework. A knowledge structure named UP-Tree was projected for maintaining the knowledge of high utility itemsets. PHUIs are often with efficiency generated from UP-Tree with solely to info scans. Moreover, we have a tendency to developed many methods to decrease overestimated utility and enhance the performance of utility mining. Within the experiments, each real and artificial data set was wont to perform a radical performance evaluation. Results show that the methods significantly improved performance by reducing each the search house and the variety of candidates. Moreover, the projected algorithms, particularly UP-Growth+, outdo the state-of-the-art algorithms considerably particularly once databases contain countless long transactions or an occasional minimum utility threshold is employed. Currently in this system we are used only hadoop framework. In future work same system develop on hadoop for less memory consumsion and less time consumsion.
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