Performance Investigation of a Monopolar HVDC Transmission System Feeding a Weak AC Network
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Abstract: This paper investigates the performance of line commutated converter (LCC) based monopolar HVDC transmission system feeding a weak AC network with hybrid reactive power compensators (RPC’s) at the inverter AC side. The hybrid compensator is an equal mix of any two of the following compensators: synchronous compensator (SC); static var compensator (SVC); static synchronous compensator (STATCOM). The HVDC transmission system model is implemented in the Matlab with the firefly algorithm based optimal proportional integral (PI) controller for rectifier and inverter control. The transient performances of hybrid RPC’s (SC+SVC, SVC+STATCOM and SC+STATCOM) are judged under various fault conditions and the outcomes are compared with the performance of the SC, SVC and STATCOM to highlight the supremacy of the hybrid compensators. The simulation results validate that the equal mix of SC and STATCOM has a steady and fastest response. The results also demonstrate the superiority of the firefly algorithm based optimal PI controller over the conventional PI controller. The harmonic analysis is also carried out under steady state operation to assure the quality of power supply on the inverter AC side.
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I. INTRODUCTION

The HVDC power transmission technology is undergoing rapid upturns in the voltage, power carrying capacity and length of transmission lines [1]. The behavior of the HVDC system plays ever greater roles in the performance of entire AC/DC power systems. It is essential to understand the mechanisms of the interactions between an HVDC system and an AC network so the HVDC system can be operated in a manner that enhances the stability of the entire power grid. The significance of this interaction largely depends on the strength of the AC system at the converter bus [2], which is generally expressed by the short circuit ratio (SCR). The following SCR values [3] can be used to classify AC systems: a) For a strong system SCR >3, b) For a weak system 2 ≤ SCR < 3, c) For a very weak system SCR < 2.

Enormous amount of work has been carried out so far to know the interaction between AC network and HVDC system. The voltage stability associated phenomena [4] at HVDC terminals feeding weak AC network and solutions for eradicating the risks of voltage collapse and for evading control-induced oscillations were discussed. An analysis of the Nelson River HVDC system with new synchronous compensators [5] is presented and also highlighted planning requirements and specification of the synchronous compensators to optimize power delivery by the DC links. The dynamic performance of HVDC systems [6] connected to a weak AC system is analyzed for various exciter characteristics of synchronous machines connected to the converter bus. The direct transient stability margin (TSM) prediction method using the extended equal area criterion [7] is used for the incorporation of SVC and HVDC transmission system into the power system. The use of STATCOM at the inverter end of a conventional HVDC system for the reactive power support is discussed in [8]. An evaluation of the coordination between STATCOM and HVDC classic link feeding a weak AC network is done in [9] with two different control technique during various fault conditions.

The fault recovery and suppression of dynamic overvoltage (DOV) criterion of an HVDC system feeding a weak AC network have been discussed in [10] with a fixed capacitor (FC), SC, Thyristor controlled reactor (TCR), Thyristor switched capacitor (TSC), Metal oxide varistor (MOV), Series capacitor device (SCD). The DC power recovery and suppression of temporary overvoltage (TOV) of an HVDC system feeding a very weak AC network have been discussed in [11] [12]. To make the analysis complete, it is highly necessary to consider the suppression of TOV and fault recovery for an HVDC system feeding a weak AC network. Therefore, in this simulation work both the fault recovery performance as well as suppression of TOV during various transient fault conditions has been carried out for an HVDC transmission system connected to a weak AC network with the hybrid RPC’s: SC+SVC, SVC+STATCOM and SC+STATCOM. These results are compared with the performance of SC, SVC and STATCOM. The harmonics investigation is also carried out under steady state to assure the quality of power supply on the inverter AC side.

The simple fixed gain PI controller used for the rectifier and the inverter controllers of HVDC system causes instability due to inadequacy in tuning its gain for various abnormal operating conditions. To overcome this drawback intelligent technique has been introduced [13]-[16] for proper tuning of the PI controller.
parameters. However, in all those tuning methods the principal signals used to fix the PI gains of the rectifier and the inverter current controllers are current error and its derivative. On the other hand, for the inverter gamma controller, the gamma error and its derivative are used. In this paper, minimization of the rectifier and the inverter DC power errors are considered as an objective function which is achieved by the firefly optimization algorithm, to fix the PI gains of the respective PI controller. To demonstrate the effectiveness of the firefly algorithm based optimal PI controller on transient performance of HVDC system, it has been compared with conventional PI controller.

II. MODELLING OF MONOPOLAR HVDC TRANSMISSION SYSTEM

A line commutated converter based monopolar HVDC system of 500kV, 2kA, 1000MW feeding a strong AC network [17], in which inverter side AC network is replaced by a weak AC network as shown in the Fig. 1.

Fig. 1: Monopolar HVDC transmission system model feeding a weak AC network

The rectifier side AC system of 500kV, 5000MVA, 60Hz is connected to the inverter side AC system of 345kV, 2500MVA, 50Hz through an HVDC network. Generally, the AC system is represented by damped LLR equivalents. The Passive filters of 450MVAr are connected on the source side to eliminate the 11th and 13th (the double tuned type) order and above 24th (second order high pass filter) order current harmonics and the synchronous and/or the static compensator is used (150MVAr) for reactive power compensation. The rectifier and the inverter are 12-pulse converters. The DC network model consists of a smoothing reactor for the rectifier and the inverter bridges, a passive filter of double tuned type to mitigate the 12th and 24th order DC voltage harmonics and the DC line. The DC link of 1500 km is modelled as a distributed parameter line model with lumped losses. The rectifier is equipped with a current controller to maintain the DC system current constant. The inverter is provided with a current controller to maintain the DC system current constant and a constant extinction angle or gamma controller. The reference current for the current controllers is obtained from the master controller output through the voltage dependent current order limiter (VDCOL). In order to protect the rectifier and the inverter DC protection functions are implemented in each converter. In the inverter side AC network, the following six reactive power compensator options are studied.

2.1 SC

The SC model of 150MVAr shown in Fig. 2 (a) is represented with the simplified synchronous machine block which models, both the electrical and mechanical characteristics of a simple synchronous machine. The SC uses the solid static excitation system.
2.2 SVC
A 150MVAr SVC is shown in Fig. 2 (b) regulates voltage on a 345kV system. The SVC consists of a 345kV/16kV, 168MVA coupling transformer, one 60MVAr TCR bank and one 180MVAr TSC connected to the secondary side of the transformer. Switching the TSC in and out allows a continuous variation of the secondary reactive power from zero to 180MVAr capacitive, whereas phase control of the TCR allows a continuous variation from zero to 60MVAr inductive.

2.3 STATCOM
The STATCOM shown in Fig. 2 (c) is located at the inverter side of the HVDC link and has a rating of ±150MVAr. This STATCOM is a typical simple PWM voltage source converter (VSC). It consists of a 6 pulse VSC inverter and a series connected Capacitors which act as a variable DC voltage source. Based on a VSC, the STATCOM regulates system voltage by absorbing or generating reactive power.

2.4 An Equal Mix of SC and SVC
The SC and SVC (-90MVAr, +30MVAr) are connected to the inverter bus in this scheme with the rating of the SC halved to 75Mvar. In steady state the SC and SVC each supply 75MVAr.

2.5 An Equal Mix of SC and STATCOM
The SC and STATCOM (±75MVAr) are connected to the inverter bus in this scheme with the rating of the SC halved to 75Mvar. In steady state the SC and STATCOM each supply 75MVAr.

2.6 An Equal Mix of SVC and STATCOM
The SVC (-90MVAr, +30MVAr) and STATCOM (±75MVAr) are connected to the inverter bus in this scheme. In steady state the SVC and STATCOM each supply 75MVAr.

III. APPLICATION OF FIREFLY ALGORITHM FOR OBTAINING OPTIMAL GAIN VALUES FOR PI CONTROLLERS
In this paper, optimization of the rectifier and the inverter side DC power error is picked as a prime objective function which has to be minimized. To achieve the same DC power (P_{DCMEA}) and its reference (P_{DCREF}) is compared to get the error signal. The integral square error of the rectifier DC power error and inverter DC power error are processed by the firefly algorithm [18] to fix the gain of the rectifier current PI controller and to fix the gain of the both inverter current PI controller and the gamma PI controller respectively. This approach ensures the reduced computational procedure, faster recovery and reduced TOV. The schematic diagram of the firefly algorithm based tuning technique is shown in Fig. 3. The general flow chart for minimization of the rectifier/ the inverter DC power error function using firefly algorithm is shown in Fig. 4.

IV. SIMULATION RESULTS AND DISCUSSION
In order to know the interaction between AC network and HVDC systems, MATLAB simulation model is implemented based on the data [19]. At the inverter AC side the following RPC’s are considered for analysis: SC, SVC, STATCOM, SC+SVC, SC+STATCOM and SVC+STATCOM. In all the cases simulated steady state AC voltage and current waveforms at the inverter AC side and their harmonic spectrums are observed to assure the quality of the AC supply. The transient performance of the HVDC system is analyzed in the presence of various RPC’S for a duration of two seconds under different fault conditions to study the suppression of TOV and fault recovery. For the purposes of comparison, identical fault duration of 0.05seconds was used for all types of faults. The inverter side RMS AC voltage waveforms are observed during various AC faults and DC fault on the rectifier side to study the TOV suppression capability of the proposed firefly algorithm based PI controller. For analyzing the fault recovery capability with the proposed firefly algorithm based PI controller, the inverter DC power is observed, under various AC faults and DC faults at rectifier and inverter side. In all the cases, the TOV suppression and fault clearance capability of the firefly algorithm based PI controller are compared with conventional PI controller of an HVDC transmission system.
4.1 **Inverter Side AC Harmonics**

The inverter side AC voltage and current waveforms and their harmonic spectrums during steady state operation are shown in Fig. 5 and 6 and the results are listed in table I. From the inverter side AC waveforms and their harmonic spectrum, it is found that in all the cases the voltage and current are equal to 1 p.u and the harmonics are within tolerable limit. The 11th and 13th current harmonics are the foremost harmonics on the inverter AC side.

4.2 **Temporary overvoltage**

When disturbances occur on the DC line or at the rectifier side, commonly temporary over voltage happens. It is usual practice a large number RLC based filters are provided in the inverter side of the HVDC system, in order to supply the part of necessary reactive power. During rectifier side AC or DC faults (the inverter side has no faults), the DC is blocked, and hence the reactive power of those filters will flow into the AC system, which often causes TOV. In order to suppress the TOV, the reactive power compensator and DC system PI controllers should respond quickly otherwise the TOV could be very high and could damage the insulation of the equipment. The ability of TOV suppression of various RPC’s is demonstrated with the proposed firefly algorithm based PI controller and also compared to a conventional PI controller. From the inverter side RMS AC voltage waveforms shown in Fig. 7 and 8 and the results listed in table II, the occurrence of TOV with the presence of a conventional PI controller for various RPC’s can be understood. The hybrid RPC’s (SC+SVC, SC+STATCOM and SVC+STATCOM) has improved TOV controlling capability, than their individual performance (SC, SVC, and STATCOM). In particular, SC+STATCOM have very less TOV among the various RPC’s. The TOV values further reduced due to the application firefly algorithm based PI controller compared to conventional PI controller.

4.3 **Fault Recovery**

The time taken by the HVDC system to recover the 80% of the pre-fault power after the fault clearance is known as DC power recovery time. The DC power recovery time is often desired the recovery ability of a DC system PI controller and the capability of the RPC’s during system disturbances.
Fig. 5: Inverter side AC waveforms and their harmonic spectrums during steady state operation - with SC (left), - with SVC (middle), - with STATCOM (right).

Fig. 6: Inverter side AC waveforms and their harmonic spectrums during steady state operation - with SC+SVC (Left), - with SC+STATCOM (Middle), - with SVC+STATCOM (Right).
Fig. 7: Inverter AC bus RMS voltage when disturbances occur on the DC line or at the rectifier side - with SC (left), - with SVC (middle), - with STATCOM (right).

Fig. 8: Inverter AC bus RMS voltage when disturbances occur on the DC line or at the rectifier side - with SC+ SVC (Left), - with SC+STATCOM (Middle), - with SVC+STATCOM (Right).
Fig. 9: Inverter DC power when AC and DC disturbances occur on the rectifier side/ the inverter side - with SC (left), - with SVC (middle), – with STATCOM (right).
Fig. 10: Inverter DC power when AC and DC disturbances occur on the rectifier side / the inverter side - with SC+SVC (Left), - with SC+STATCOM (Middle), - with SVC+STATCOM (Right).
Table I
Harmonic Measurement of the Inverter Side AC Quantities

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>%AC Harmonics for Various RPC's</th>
<th>SC</th>
<th>SVC</th>
<th>STATCOM</th>
<th>SC + SVC</th>
<th>SC + STATCOM</th>
<th>SVC + STATCOM</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Voltage</td>
<td>0.51</td>
<td>1.41</td>
<td>1.35</td>
<td>1.03</td>
<td>0.50</td>
<td>0.85</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Current</td>
<td>8.53</td>
<td>8.58</td>
<td>8.53</td>
<td>8.56</td>
<td>8.72</td>
<td>8.62</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table II
Temporary Over Voltage Level When Disturbances Occur on the DC Line or at the Rectifier AC Side

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>TOV for Various RPC's in p.u</th>
<th>Rectifier Side 3Φ AC fault</th>
<th>Rectifier Side 2Φ AC fault</th>
<th>Rectifier Side 1Φ AC fault</th>
<th>Rectifier DC fault</th>
<th>Inverter Side 3Φ AC fault</th>
<th>Inverter Side 2Φ AC fault</th>
<th>Inverter Side 1Φ AC fault</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>SC</td>
<td>PI</td>
<td>1.1821</td>
<td>1.1641</td>
<td>1.1451</td>
<td>1.1421</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FFPI</td>
<td>1.1583</td>
<td>1.1322</td>
<td>1.1147</td>
<td>1.1208</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SVC</td>
<td>PI</td>
<td>1.1994</td>
<td>1.1740</td>
<td>1.1497</td>
<td>1.1558</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FFPI</td>
<td>1.1785</td>
<td>1.1466</td>
<td>1.1201</td>
<td>1.1311</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>STATCOM</td>
<td>PI</td>
<td>1.0962</td>
<td>1.0852</td>
<td>1.0641</td>
<td>1.0937</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FFPI</td>
<td>1.0693</td>
<td>1.0614</td>
<td>1.0411</td>
<td>1.0683</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SC+SVC</td>
<td>PI</td>
<td>1.1521</td>
<td>1.1379</td>
<td>1.1124</td>
<td>1.1313</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FFPI</td>
<td>1.1245</td>
<td>1.1103</td>
<td>1.0886</td>
<td>1.0904</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SC+STATCOM</td>
<td>PI</td>
<td>1.0541</td>
<td>1.0496</td>
<td>1.0393</td>
<td>1.0566</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FFPI</td>
<td>1.0294</td>
<td>1.0272</td>
<td>1.0147</td>
<td>1.0331</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SVC+STATCOM</td>
<td>PI</td>
<td>1.1424</td>
<td>1.1253</td>
<td>1.0932</td>
<td>1.1036</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FFPI</td>
<td>1.1128</td>
<td>1.1011</td>
<td>1.0720</td>
<td>1.0899</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table III
The Fault Recovery Time When AC and DC Disturbances Occur on the Rectifier and the Inverter Side

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Fault Recovery Time for Various RPC's in seconds</th>
<th>Rectifier Side 3Φ AC fault</th>
<th>Rectifier Side 2Φ AC fault</th>
<th>Rectifier Side 1Φ AC fault</th>
<th>Rectifier DC fault</th>
<th>Inverter Side 3Φ AC fault</th>
<th>Inverter Side 2Φ AC fault</th>
<th>Inverter Side 1Φ AC fault</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>SC</td>
<td>PI</td>
<td>0.034</td>
<td>0.022</td>
<td>0.013</td>
<td>0.364</td>
<td>0.365</td>
<td>0.169</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FFPI</td>
<td>0.032</td>
<td>0.021</td>
<td>0.012</td>
<td>0.243</td>
<td>0.242</td>
<td>0.058</td>
<td>0.053</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SVC</td>
<td>PI</td>
<td>0.035</td>
<td>0.023</td>
<td>0.014</td>
<td>0.382</td>
<td>0.384</td>
<td>0.173</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FFPI</td>
<td>0.033</td>
<td>0.022</td>
<td>0.013</td>
<td>0.253</td>
<td>0.254</td>
<td>0.065</td>
<td>0.060</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>STATCOM</td>
<td>PI</td>
<td>0.032</td>
<td>0.021</td>
<td>0.012</td>
<td>0.350</td>
<td>0.353</td>
<td>0.163</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FFPI</td>
<td>0.030</td>
<td>0.020</td>
<td>0.011</td>
<td>0.230</td>
<td>0.231</td>
<td>0.051</td>
<td>0.047</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SC+SVC</td>
<td>PI</td>
<td>0.029</td>
<td>0.019</td>
<td>0.010</td>
<td>0.329</td>
<td>0.330</td>
<td>0.155</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FFPI</td>
<td>0.027</td>
<td>0.018</td>
<td>0.009</td>
<td>0.216</td>
<td>0.218</td>
<td>0.044</td>
<td>0.040</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SC+STATCOM</td>
<td>PI</td>
<td>0.026</td>
<td>0.016</td>
<td>0.008</td>
<td>0.311</td>
<td>0.313</td>
<td>0.149</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FFPI</td>
<td>0.024</td>
<td>0.015</td>
<td>0.007</td>
<td>0.197</td>
<td>0.198</td>
<td>0.039</td>
<td>0.034</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SVC+STATCOM</td>
<td>PI</td>
<td>0.028</td>
<td>0.018</td>
<td>0.009</td>
<td>0.326</td>
<td>0.327</td>
<td>0.154</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FFPI</td>
<td>0.026</td>
<td>0.017</td>
<td>0.008</td>
<td>0.212</td>
<td>0.214</td>
<td>0.043</td>
<td>0.039</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

From the inverter DC power recovery simulation results (Fig. 9 and 10 and Table III), it is observed that in all the cases during rectifier side AC system faults, the system recovery with the firefly algorithm based PI controller is slightly faster than the conventional PI controller. On the other hand, for the faults in the rectifier DC side and inverter AC and DC side, the hybrid RPC's (SC+SVC, SC+STATCOM and SVC+STATCOM) has reduced fault clearing time than their individual performance (SC, SVC, and STATCOM). In particular, the combination of SC and STATCOM is taking very lesser time to clear the fault among the various RPC's. Further, the firefly algorithm based PI controller makes the system recovery much faster than the conventional PI controller.
III. CONCLUSION

In this paper, performance investigation of hybrid RPC’s in a monopolar HVDC system feeding a weak AC network was carried out in detail with firefly algorithm based optimal PI controller for the rectifier and the inverter control. The different hybrid RPC’s analyzed were SC+SVC, SC+STATCOM and SVC+STATCOM. This involvement can be very useful for designing and safeguarding persons, for analyzing the interaction between AC networks and HVDC systems under different operating environment. The HVDC transmission system model was developed in the Matlab environment. The transient performances of the hybrid RPC’s in an HVDC system were compared with SC, SVC, STATCOM, under different fault condition to study the suppression of TOV and fault recovery. The simulation results validate that the equal mix of SC+STATCOM has the steady and fastest response and display the superiority of firefly algorithm based PI controller over the conventional fixed gain PI controller. The harmonic analysis outcome also assures the quality of power supply on inverter AC side.
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