International Journal of Engineering Inventions
e-ISSN: 2278-7461, p-ISSN: 2319-6491
Volume 14, Issue 5 [May. 2025] PP: 38-42

Advancing circular organic agriculture: integrating waste-to-resource systems for sustainable farming

Nguyen Thi Hang
Thai Nguyen University of Technology

Abstract
This ponder explores the integration of waste-to-resource systems—anaerobic absorption, vermicomposting, and supplement recycling—in circular natural horticulture to upgrade supportability. Test trials and case ponders uncover that the coordinates framework diminishes nursery gas emanations by 45%, increments soil carbon sequestration by 267%, and recuperates 70% of supplements, minimizing natural affect. Financially, it accomplishes an 18% return on speculation through input investment funds and byproduct income, with a 4.5-year payback period. Agronomically, trim yields move forward by 22%, and soil wellbeing is upgraded, boosting flexibility. Synergistic impacts from framework integration open up these benefits, making a closed-loop demonstrate. Challenges, counting tall costs and specialized complexity, emphasize the require for measured plans and approach bolster. The discoveries highlight the potential of coordinates frameworks to development economical cultivating, advertising a versatile system for circular horticulture.
***Keywords**: Circular agriculture, waste-to-resource, anaerobic digestion, vermicomposting, nutrient recycling*

Date of Submission: 28-04-2025 Date of acceptance: 07-05-2025

I. Introduction

The global agriculture sector faces increasing challenges, including soil degradation, resource reduction and environmental impacts of waste accumulation. The global population continues to grow, reaching 9.7 billion by 2050, making the demand for sustainable food production systems more urgent than ever. Traditional agricultural practices that often rely on chemical inputs and linear resource currents have contributed significantly to greenhouse gas emissions, loss of biological diversity and water pollution. In contrast, circular organic farming offers a transformative approach with resource efficiency, waste minimization and ecological balance prioritization. By integrating resource systems from waste into compost, biogas production and nutritional recycling, organic farming agricultural waste promotes a closed loop model where agricultural waste is a valuable input, improving sustainability. This article examines the potential to integrate waste-to-resource systems and promote circular organic farming, focusing on environmental, economic and agriculture benefits.

Circulating organic farming corresponds to the principles of a circular economy, highlighting the continued cycling of materials and energy within the system to reduce waste and environmental damage. In contrast to linear farming models in which resources are used, used and rejected, circulation systems aim to regenerate resources through biological and technical cycles. By focusing on natural processes and avoiding synthetic inputs, organic farming provides an ideal foundation for cyclicality. By including waste resource systems, farmers can convert organic waste such as harvest residues, animal junk and food waste. This waste contains valuable products such as biogas, compost, and liquid fertilizers. These results not only reduce the ecological footprint of agriculture, but also improve soil fertility, improve energy self-tolerance, and increase agricultural resistance to external shocks such as:

The waste-to-resource system includes many techniques and practices that convert farming by-products into usable resources. Anaerobic digestion, for example, converts organic waste into biogas, renewable energy sources, and nutrient-rich fertilizers. Similarly, the composting and bird composting processes divide organic matter into stable, nutrient-rich soil changes, improving soil structure and microbial activity. Recycling systems, such as nutrient recycling systems, which generate liquid fertilizer from waste streams, continue to close the loop by bringing essential nutrients back to the ground. In integration, these systems create synergies that increase benefits. For example, digestion is composted by biogas production and improves its stability, but compost can be used to improve the soil conditions of plants. Such integration maximizes resource efficiency, minimizes external entry points, and is consistent with the core districts of organic farming. The introduction of waste resource systems in

circular organic farming has a major impact on sustainability. Environmental representative systems reduce methane emissions by decomposed waste, reducing fertilizer reliance on fossil fuel bases, and improving floor carbon sequencing. From an economic perspective, they offer cost savings by reducing the need for purchased inputs and the creation of the possibility of income generation. Agriculturally, it improves soil health, increases yields, and improves the resilience of farmers to pests, diseases and climate stress. However, there are challenges such as the technical complexity of system integration, high initial investment costs, and the need for farmer training. The fight against these obstacles requires interdisciplinary research, advocacy guidelines and knowledge exchange platforms, particularly to promote acceptance in smallholder farmers and resource-restricting systems.

The purpose of this study is to examine the integration of waste resource systems into recycled organic farming, focusing on its design, performance, and scalability. We attempt to interpret these systems, research, farmers, political makers, and researchers by analyzing the ecological, economic and agricultural outcomes. Specific goals include assessing the efficiency of waste resource technologies, assessing synergies in combination, and identifying strategies to overcome implementation barriers. Combining case studies, experimental data and system modeling, this paper contributes to the increased knowledge of circulation agriculture and provides a roadmap for sustainable agricultural practices that are consistent with global goals of nutritional safety, climate protection and ecosystem recovery. Ultimately, the integration of waste resource systems is a critical step into the future of regenerative agriculture, not a waste responsibility, but a foundation of sustainability.

Rooted in the principles of a circular economy, cyclical organic agriculture has attracted attention as a sustainable alternative to traditional agriculture. Circular Economy (2013) as defined by the Ellen MacArthur Foundation (2013) emphasizes resource cycling to minimize waste and environmental impact. In agriculture, this leads to a system that turns organic waste into valuable inputs such as energy, fertilizer, and soil changes. Study by Kirchherr et al. (2017) highlights the potential for circulation systems to reduce resource depreciation and greenhouse gas emissions, particularly in organic farming. This avoids synthetic input and prioritizes ecological balance. However, the integration of waste resource systems into organic farming is still underestimated and requires a review of existing technologies and their applications.

Waste-to-resource systems including anaerobic digestion, composting and nutrient recycling are centrally important for recycling agriculture. Anaerobic digestion converts organic waste into biogas and darkness, providing renewable energy and nutrient-rich fertilizers. A study by Möller and Müller (2012) shows that biogas systems reduce methane emissions from waste and simultaneously improve soil fertility when applying soil fertility. Lazcano et al. (2008) Improves soil and carbon bond microbial activity, and insect composting has excellent availability for earthworm activity. A nutritional recycling system that generates liquid fertilizer from waste streams, as well as a tight nutritional loop. Study by Case et al. (2017) found that recycled fertilizers can replace up to 50% of the synthetic inputs of organic systems without compromising revenue.

The synergistic integration of these systems is a growing area of interest. For example, the combination of biogas and composting stabilizes organic matter such as Bustamante et al. (2013), improving its effectiveness as ground change. Similarly, Velasco et al. (2019). However, Chadwick et al. (2015). Small-scale farmers in particular are Toop et al. This is a barrier to the implementation of Because access to technology and training is limited.

Despite these advances, there is a research gap. Most studies focus on individual waste resource systems rather than integrated applications in circular organic farming. Little is known about scalability in a variety of agriculture contexts, particularly in resource-restricted areas. Furthermore, the long-term impact on soil health, biological diversity and economic viability requires further investigation. The latest work by van der Wiel et al. (2020) demand a systemic approach to assessing ecological and agricultural compromises in integrated systems.This study builds on these insights by examining the design and performance of combined waste-to-resource systems, addressing gaps in scalability and integration to advance sustainable farming practices.

II. Methodology

This study uses a mixed method approach to investigate the integration of waste resource systems into organic agriculture, focusing on ecological, economic and agricultural effects. The research combines experimental research, case study analysis and system modeling to assess the performance and scalability of integrated systems such as anaerobic digestion, composting, and nutritional recycling. This methodology aims to provide extensive data on system efficiency, synergy and practical implementation challenges. This focuses on its applicability to a variety of organic farming contexts.

Research design:
Research consists of two main components:

experimental research and case studies. Experimental studies are conducted on organic models of the model to test the performance of resource systems from integrated waste. Three systems are selected:
(1) analogue digestion for biogas and digestive production, (2) insect composting for soil changes, (3) nutrient recycling for liquid fertilizer production. These systems have been implemented individually and in combination to assess synergy. The case studies are from existing organic farming companies using circular practices selected

based on the diversity of Scala, geography and waste management rates. This dual approach ensures robust data collection and practical applicability.

Data collection:
data is collected in several dimensions. Environmental metrics include greenhouse gas emissions (measured with gas analyzers), bed carbon sequestration (via soil samples), and waste reduction rates (quantified by waste credit). Economic indicators include system installation and maintenance costs, input savings (reduced purchases of fertilizer), and revenues from production of biological gases and compost (following farm financial records). Agricultural outcomes are assessed by parameters of soil health (such as nutrient content, microbial activity) and crop yield (measured in several growth seasons). In case studies, semi-structured interviews with farmers provide qualitative insights into obstacles and implementation of practical strategies.

Analysis frame:
Data Analysis integrates quantitative and qualitative methods. Environmental and agricultural data are analyzed using statistical tools (e.g. ANOVA for revenue comparisons, regression models of emission trends). Economic viability is assessed by a cost-benefit analysis in which capital reversion and amortization period are calculated. System modeling using software such as Stella simulates the long-term impact of integrated systems under a variety of conditions (waste volume, climate, etc.). Qualitative data from interviews are thematically coded to identify common challenges and best practices. Frames evaluate both the performance of individual systems and the benefits of integration, such as: B. Improved nutritional cycle or energy efficiency.

Limitations and considerations: The study accounts for variability in waste composition and farm scale by standardizing waste inputs in experimental trials and selecting diverse case studies. However, regional differences in technology access and climate may limit generalizability. Data collection spans two growing seasons to capture seasonal variations, with triangulation across experimental and case study findings to enhance reliability. This methodology provides a robust foundation for evaluating waste-to-resource systems, offering actionable insights for advancing circular organic agriculture.

Integration of waste-to-resource systems
The integration of waste-to-resource systems is a cornerstone of circular organic agriculture, enabling the transformation of agricultural byproducts into valuable inputs that enhance sustainability. This section explores the design and implementation of three key systems—anaerobic digestion, vermicomposting, and nutrient recycling—and their synergistic integration to optimize resource efficiency, reduce environmental impact, and improve farm productivity. By combining these systems, organic farms can create closed-loop cycles that minimize waste and external inputs while maximizing energy, nutrient, and soil health benefits.

Anaerobe digestion:
Anaerobic digestion processes of organic waste such as animal fertilizers and plants remain in biogas and digestion. Biogas, which is primarily methane, serves as a source of renewable energy for agricultural operators, reducing their dependence on fossil fuels. Digendate, a nutrient, can be directly treated as a fertilizer or more. In circulation systems, anaerobic digestion served as an initial waste disposal step, converting large amounts of organic matter into usable energy and stabilizing substrates for the subsequent system.

Insect compost:
Vermicon Composting uses earthworms to decompose organic waste. This process is particularly effective in stabilizing digestion through anaerobic digestion, as it can become phytotoxic if the raw duration is applied directly to the plant. Insect composting converts digestion, food or harvested residues into high-quality soil changes that improve soil structure, moisture retention, and nutrient availability. Integration into anaerobic digestion creates a two-stage waste disposal system, and digestive organs are refined into more stable, agriculturally valuable products.

Nutritional recycling: Extract and concentrate the
Nutritional Recycling System and concentrate nutrients from liquid waste. These fertilizers are rich in nitrogen, phosphorus and potassium, providing a sustainable alternative to synthetic inputs. By integrating nutrient recycling into anaerobic digestion and insect composting, agricultural nutrients that may otherwise be lost can guarantee near-complete recovery of derived resources. For example, liquid wastewater from digestive treatments can be filtered and processed into concentrated fertilizers, and is applied to plants to close the nutritional loop.

Benefits and challenges of synergy:

The integration of these systems creates synergy that enhances individual benefits. For example, using insects to digest composting will improve compost quality, while nutritional recycling will capture excess liquid for accurate fertilizer application. This multi-stage approach reduces waste disposal, lowers greenhouse gas emissions, and improves soil fertility. However, the challenges include technical complexity. B. Logistical issues such as maintaining optimal conditions for digestion and composting and adjusting waste flow between systems. Economic obstacles are also needed, including high cost of initiatives for digestive gifts and processing equipment. Addressing these challenges includes designing modular systems, training farmers, and political support to promote acceptance to ensure that integrated waste-to-resource systems lead to a transition to sustainable circular agriculture.

III. Results and Discussion

This study evaluated the integration of digestion, toxic composting and nutritional recycling in the establishment of waste resource systems in circular organic farming. The results demonstrate important environmental, economic and agricultural benefits with synergistic effects on system integration. The experimental and case studies data provide insight into system performance, and comparisons with existing studies highlight the advantages and limitations of the integrated approach.

Environmental services

Integrated Systems have significantly reduced the environmental impact. Anaerobic digestion deals with 80% of organic waste (fertilizer and plant residues) and supports 2400 kWh of energy at 120m³ of biogas per tonne of waste. This reduced fossil fuels by 65% by 65% compared to basic operating operations. Greenhouse gas emissions were 1.76 TCO_2E/HA in the conventional scenario. Digested Vermic composting and other stabilized organic materials increased the carbon bonds in the soil to 0.8 tc/ha/year. Nutritional recycling recovered 70% nitrogen and 60% of the waste phosphorus, minimizing nutrient runoff and water pollution.

Table 1: Environmental performance of integrated waste-to-resource systems

Metric	Baseline (Conventional)	Integrated System	% Improvement
GHG Emissions (tCO_2e/ha)	3.20	1.76	45%
Soil Carbon Sequestration (tC/ha/year)	0.3	1.1	267%
Nutrient Runoff (kg N/ha)	15.0	4.5	70%
Waste Processed (% of total)	20%	80%	300%

Economic outcomes

Economically, an integrated system has proven viable. The cost of installing anaerobic digestion points, vermicon composting units and nutrient recycling devices reached $25,000 on a 10-hour farm with annual maintenance costs of $2,500. The savings and energy costs ($800/ha/ha/ha/ha) from a decrease in fertilizer purchases ($1,200/ha/year) combined with income from compost sales ($500/ha/year) resulted in a 4.5-year repayment period. Return on investment (ROI) reached 18% through the fifth year. This was due to the possibility of multi-force biogas, compost and liquid fertilizers being sold.

Agricultural effects

Integrated waste to resource system greatly improves health and plant productivity. During the two growth phases, organic subterranean material increased by 2.1% in figures treated with insect compost and liquid fertilizer compared to an increase in the control diagram of 0.5% using synthetic fertilizers. Microbial bed activity assessed by dehydrogenase enzyme mirror was increased by 35%, indicating improved nutrient cycles and soil vitality. Harvest productivity also increases, which increases corn yield by 22% (5.5 t/ha to 6.7 t/ha) and vegetable yield by 18% (12 t/ha to 1.2 t/ha). These benefits were attributed to nutrient-rich insect compost, which provided balanced macros and micronutrients and liquid fertilizers, allowing for accurate application of nutrients. Furthermore, as part of the integrated system, the diagram showed 15% less yield variation during drought conditions. This indicates an increase in tolerance to climate stressors. These improvements highlight the potential of systems to support sustainable and productive organic farming.

However, these results are consistent with previous studies, but emphasize the added value of integration. Möller and Müller (2012) reported 30% from anaerobic digestion alone on reducing greenhouse gas emissions, but the integrated approach in this study achieved 25% due to stabilizing digestion stability with insect compost. Lazcano et al. (2008) found that insect compost increases soil activity of microorganisms by 20%, while the 35% increase reflects an improvement in digestive substrate quality. Bustamante et al. (2013) increased by 15%, probably due to the addition of nutritional recycling, combining lower digestion and composting than the observed 22%. Chadwick et al. (2015) highlighted the high capital cost as a barrier to an initial investment of USD 25,000, which highlights the need for subsidies or modular designs to improve accessibility. The integration of the system addresses gaps identified in previous studies. Van der Weir et al. (2020) sought a systemic approach to assessing compromises to achieve this study through extensive metrics related to the ecological, economic and agronomic fields. Toop et al. (2017), a case study in this study, which focused on small-scale farm barriers, included scalable designs such as modular digestive substances that reduce costs for small and medium-sized enterprises by 20%. However, scalability remains a challenge in resource-related regions where technical know-how and infrastructure are limited.

This result highlights the potential for transformation of resource systems from integrated waste in circular organic farming. The environmental representative system mitigates climate impacts, improves ecosystem services and aligns it with global sustainability goals. From an economic standpoint, positive ROI and short repayment periods can prevent acceptance without external support, but a feasible approach becomes feasible. Agricultural and improve soil health, and returns improve nutritional safety and resilience. This is extremely important given climate variability. Synergistic Effects - For example, the limit includes studies on a single climate zone that can limit generalization. Further monitoring is required for long-term effects such as decades of bed carbon dynamics dynamics. Although case studies provided practical insights, variation in agricultural practices is difficult. Future research should examine the conditions of cheap, modular technology and political frameworks to support acceptance, especially for small-scale farmers. Comparative studies of different rascology zones may continue to validate the scalability of the approach.

Finally, the integrated waste to resource system provides a robust model for further development of circular organic farming. By addressing environmental, economic and agriculture challenges, it provides a way to sustainable agriculture that addresses the principles of resource efficiency and ecological balance.

IV. Conclusion

This study demonstrates the potential for transformation of the establishment and digestion of waste resource systems, toxic composting, and integration of nutrient recycled recycling agriculture. The results show significant environmental benefits, including reducing greenhouse gas emissions by 5%, improving soil carbon bonds, and minimizing nutrients. From an economic standpoint, the system offers a return on capital of 18% and a repayment period of 4.5 years. This is due to savings and production income. Agriculturally speaking, 22% yield and improved soil health highlights the approach, nutritional safety and resilience capabilities. Synergistic effects from system integration enhance these results and create models using closed circuits that match the principles of circular economy. However, challenges such as high initial costs and technical complexity highlight the need for support guidelines, modular technology and farmer training to ensure scalability, especially for smallholder farmers. Future research should focus on long-term impacts and adaptation in a variety of agricultural contexts. By converting waste into valuable resources, the integrated system provides a sustainable pathway for organic farming that contributes to the global goals of climate experience, ecosystem recovery and resistant food systems.

References

[1]. Brears, R. C. (2025). *Sustainable Water-Food Nexus: Circular Economy, Water Management, Sustainable Agriculture*. Walter de Gruyter GmbH & Co KG.

[2]. Kotyal, K. (2023). Circular agriculture: Sustainable farming practices for zero waste. *Environmental Reports*.

[3]. Creegan, E. F., & Flynn, R. (2019). SDG 2 Zero Hunger: Organic Waste-to-Resource Compost Program Development: Cultivating Circular Sustainable Systems. In *Actioning the Global Goals for Local Impact: Towards Sustainability Science, Policy, Education and Practice* (pp. 23-37). Singapore: Springer Singapore.

[4]. Rukmangathan, M., Nayak, S., Deshpande, M. R., & Bharat Raj, K. (2025). Waste to Resources for Achieving Environmental Health. In *Management of Waste to Control Environmental Pollutions: Sustainability and Economic Feasibility* (pp. 163-174). Cham: Springer Nature Switzerland.

[5]. Marques, C., Güneş, S., Vilela, A., & Gomes, R. (2025). Life-Cycle Assessment in Agri-Food Systems and the Wine Industry—A Circular Economy Perspective. *Foods*, *14*(9), 1553.

[6]. Bharti, D., Sharma, A., Sharma, M., Singh, R., Kumar, A., & Saxena, R. (2024). Cultivating a greener tomorrow: sustainable agriculture strategies for minimizing agricultural waste. In *Valorization of Biomass Wastes for Environmental Sustainability: Green Practices for the Rural Circular Economy* (pp. 317-333). Cham: Springer Nature Switzerland.

[7]. Akter, M. S., & Sarkar, A. (2024). From Waste to Resource: Circular Waste Management and. *Application of Waste-to-Energy Innovations in Tourism*, 75.

[8]. You, S. (2022). *Waste-to-resource system design for low-carbon circular economy*. Elsevier.

[9]. Salas, M. Á., Sica, P., Rydgård, M., Sitzmann, T. J., Nyangáu, J. O., Mahdi, J. E., ... & Jensen, L. S. (2024). Current challenges on the widespread adoption of new bio-based fertilizers: insights to move forward toward more circular food systems. *Frontiers in Sustainable Food Systems*, *8*, 1-19.

[10]. Cong, H., Meng, H., Chen, M., Song, W., & Xing, H. (2023). Co-processing paths of agricultural and rural solid wastes for a circular economy based on the construction concept of "zero-waste city" in China. *Circular Economy*, *2*(4), 100065.

[11]. Abdullah, N., Al-Wesabi, O. A., Mohammed, B. A., Al-Mekhlafi, Z. G., Alazmi, M., Alsaffar, M., ... & Sumari, P. (2022). Integrated approach to achieve a sustainable organic waste management system in Saudi Arabia. *Foods*, *11*(9), 1214.