# Strength of Blended Cement Sandcrete & Soilcrete Blocks Containing Sawdust Ash and Pawpaw Leaf Ash

L. O. Ettu<sup>1</sup>, J. I. Arimanwa<sup>2</sup>, F. C. Njoku<sup>3</sup>, A. P. C. Amanze<sup>4</sup>, U. G. Eziefula<sup>5</sup>

<sup>1,2,3,4</sup>Department of Civil Engineering, Federal University of Technology, Owerri, Nigeria <sup>5</sup>Department of Civil Engineering, Imo State Polytechnic, Umuagwo, Nigeria

**ABSTRACT:** This work investigated the compressive strength of binary and ternary blended cementsandcrete and soilcreteblocks containing sawdust ash (SDA) and pawpaw leaf ash (PPLA). 135 solid sandcrete blocks and 135 solid soilcrete blocks of 450mm x 225mm x 125mm were produced with OPC-SDAbinary blended cement, 135with OPC-PPLAbinary blended cement, and 135with OPC-SDA-PPLAternary blended cement, each at percentage OPC replacement with pozzolan of 5%, 10%, 15%, 20%, and 25%. Three sandcrete blocks and three soilcreteblocks for each OPC-pozzolan mix and the control were crushed to obtain their compressive strengths at 3, 7, 14, 21, 28, 50, 90, 120, and 150 days of curing. Binary and ternary blended cementsandcrete and soilcrete block strength values were found to be higher than the control values beyond 90 days of hydration at 5-20% OPC replacement with pozzolan. The 150-day strength values for OPC-SDA-PPLA ternary blended cement sandcreteand soilcrete blocks were respectively 6.00N/mm<sup>2</sup>and 5.20N/mm<sup>2</sup> for 5% replacement, 5.90N/mm<sup>2</sup>and 5.10N/mm<sup>2</sup> for 10% replacement, 5.75N/mm<sup>2</sup>and 5.00N/mm<sup>2</sup> and 4.80N/mm<sup>2</sup>. Thus, OPC-SDA and OPC-PPLA binary blended cements as well as OPC-SDA-PPLA ternary blended cement could be used in producing sandcrete and soilcreteblocks with sufficient strength for use in building and minor civil engineering works where the need for high early strength is not a critical factor.

**Key words:**Binary blended cement, pawpaw leaf ash, pozzolan, sandcrete block, sawdust ash, soilcrete block, ternary blended cement.

# I. INTRODUCTION

Sandcrete and soilcrete blocks are cement composites commonly used as walling units in buildings all over South Eastern Nigeria and many other parts of Africa. Many researchers have investigated various aspects of these important construction materials. Baiden and Tuuli (2004) confirmed that mix ratio, materials quality, and mixing of the constituent materials affect the quality of sandcrete blocks. Wenapereand Ephraim (2009) found that the compressive strength of sandcrete blocks increased with age of curing for all mixes tested at the water-cement ratio of 0.5. Their findings showed that the strength at ages 7, 14, and 21 days were 43%, 75%, and 92% of the 28-day strength respectively. Much of the focus of researchers in this field within the past decade has been to find ways of reducing the cost of cement used in sandcrete and soilcreteblock production so as to provide low-cost buildings in the suburbs and villages of South Eastern Nigeria and other places. For this reason agricultural by-products regarded as wastes in technologically underdeveloped societies are increasingly being investigated as partial replacement of Ordinary Portland Cement (OPC). Bakar, Putrajaya, and Abdulaziz(2010) reported that blended cements are already used in many parts of the world and that it has been established that supplementary cementitious materials prove to be effective to meet most of the requirements of durable cement composites. Incorporating agricultural by-product pozzolans such as rice husk ash (RHA) calcined at high temperatures has been studied with positive results in the manufacture and application of blended cements (Malhotra and Mehta, 2004). Many researchers have particularly found sawdust ash a suitable agricultural by-product for use in formulating binary blended cements with OPC (Elinwa, Ejeh, and Mamuda, 2008; Elinwa and Abdulkadir, 2011). Ganesan, Rajagopal, and Thangavel (2008) assessed the optimal level of replacement of OPC with RHA for strength and permeability properties of blended cement concrete.Nair, Jagadish, and Fraaij (2006) found that RHA could be a suitable alternative to OPC for rural housing. Agbede and Obam (2008) have investigated the strength properties of OPC-RHA blended sandcrete blocks. They replaced various percentages of OPC with RHA and found that up to 17.5% of OPC can be replaced with RHA to produce good quality sandcrete blocks. Cisse and Laquerbe (2000) reported that sandcrete blocks obtained with unground Senegalese RHA as partial replacement of OPC had greater mechanical resistance than 100% OPC sandcrete blocks. Their study also revealed that the use of unground RHA enabled production of lightweight sandcrete block with insulating properties at a reduced cost. Elinwa and Awari (2001) found that groundnut husk ash could be suitably used as partial replacement of OPC in concrete making. Oyekan and Kamiyo (2011) reported thatsandcrete blocks made with RHA-blended cement had lower heat storage capacity and lower thermal mass than 100% OPC sandcrete blocks. They explained that the increased thermal effusivity of the sandcrete block with RHA content is an advantage over 100% OPC sandcrete block as it enhances human thermal comfort.

Manyother researchers have also investigated the combination of OPC with different percentages of a pozzolan in making binary blended cement composites (Adewuyi and Ola, 2005; De Sensale, 2006; Saraswathy and Song, 2007). Mehta and Pirtz (2000) investigated the use of rice husk ash to reduce temperature in high strength mass concrete and concluded that RHA is very effective in reducing the temperature of mass concrete compared to OPC concrete. Wada et al. (2000) demonstrated that RHA mortar and concrete exhibited higher compressive strength than the control mortar and concrete. Malhotra and Mehta (2004) reported that ground RHA with finer particle size than OPC improves concrete properties as higher substitution amounts result in lower water absorption values and the addition of RHA causes an increment in the compressive strength. Cordeiro, Filho, and Fairbairn (2009) investigated Brazilian RHA and rice straw ash (RSA) and demonstrated that grinding increased the pozzolanicity of RHA and that high strength of RHA, RSA concrete makes production of blocks with good bearing strength in a rural setting possible. Their study showed that combination of RHA or RSA with lime produces a weak cementitious material which could however be used to stabilize laterite and improve the bearing strength of the material.Sakr (2006) investigated the effects of silica fume and rice husk ash on the properties of heavy weight concrete and found that these pozzolans gave higher concrete strengths than OPC concrete at curing ages of 28 days and above. Rukzon, Chindaprasirt, and Mahachai (2009) studied the effect of grinding on the chemical and physical properties of rice husk ash and the effects of RHA fineness on properties of mortar and found that pozzolans with finer particles had greater pozzolanic reaction. Habeeb and Fayyadh (2009) also investigated the influence of RHA average particle size on the properties of concrete and found that at early ages the strength was comparable, while at the age of 28 days finer RHA exhibited higher strength than the sample with coarser RHA. Cordeiro, Filho, and Fairbairn (2009) further investigated the influence of different grinding times on the particle size distribution and pozzolanic activity of RHA obtained by uncontrolled combustion in order to improve the performance of the RHA. The study revealed the possibility of using ultrafine residual RHA containing high-carbon content in high-performance concrete.

Pioneer researches have also been carried out on the possibility of ternary blended systems whereby OPC is blended with two different pozzolans. The ternary blended system has two additional economic and environmental advantages. First, it makes it possible for two pozzolans to be combined with OPC even if neither of them is available in very large quantity. Second, it enables a further reduction of the quantity of OPC in blended cements. Fri'as et al. (2005)studied the influence of calcining temperature as well as clay content in the pozzolanic activity of sugar cane straw-clay ashes-lime systems. All calcined samples showed very high pozzolanic activity and the fixation rate of lime varied with calcining temperature and clay content. Elinwa, Ejeh, and Akpabio (2005) investigated the use of sawdust ash in combination with metakaolin as a ternary blend with 3% added to act as an admixture in concrete. Tyagher, Utsev, and Adagba (2011) found that sawdust ashlime mixture as partial replacement for OPC is suitable for the production of sandcrete hollow blocks. They reported that 10% replacement of OPC with SDA-lime gave the maximum strength at water-cement ratio of 0.55 for 1:8 mix ratio. Rukzon and Chindaprasirt (2006)investigated the strength development of mortars made with ternary blends of OPC, ground RHA, and classified fly ash (FA). The results showed that the strength at the age of 28 and 90 days of the binary blended cement mortar containing 10 and 20% RHA were slightly higher than those of the control, but less than those of FA. Ternary blended cement mixes with 70% OPC and 30% of combined FA and RHA produced strengths similar to that of the control. The researchers concluded that 30% of OPC could be replaced with the combined FA and RHA pozzolans without significantly lowering the strength of the mixes. Fadzil et al. (2008) have also studied the properties of ternary blended cementitious (TBC) systems containing OPC, ground Malaysian RHA, and fly ash (FA). They found that compressive strength of concrete containing TBC gave low strength at early ages, even lower than that of OPC, but higher than binary blended cementitious (BBC) concrete containing FA. Their results suggested the possibility of using TBC systems in the concrete construction industry and that TBC systems could be particularly useful in reducing the volume of OPC used.

Much of the previous works by researchers on ternary blended cements were based on the ternary blending of OPC with an industrial by-product pozzolan such as FA or silica fume (SF) and an agricultural by-product pozzolan, notably RHA. Tons of agricultural and plant wastes such as sawdust and pawpaw leaf are generated in the variouslocal communities in South Eastern Nigeria due to intensified food production and local economic ventures. Not much has been reported on the possibility of binary combination of these Nigerian agricultural by-products with OPC in developing blended cements and no literature exists on the possibility of ternary blending of two of them with OPC. This work is part of a pioneer investigation of the suitability of using two Nigerian agricultural by-products in ternary blend with OPC for sandcrete and soilcreteblock making. The

compressive strength of binary and ternary blended cementsandcrete and soilcreteblocks containing sawdust ash and pawpaw leaf ash was specifically investigated. It is hoped that the successful utilization of sawdust ash and pawpaw leaf ash in binary and ternary combination with OPC for making sandcrete and soilcreteblocks would go a long way in reducing the cost of building and minor civil engineering projects that make much use of sandcrete and soilcrete blocks as well asadd economic value to these wastes.

## II. METHODOLOGY

Sawdust was obtained from wood-mill in Owerriand pawpaw leaf from Eziobodo, both in Imo State, South East Nigeria. These materials were air-dried and calcined into ashes in a locally fabricated furnace at temperatures generally below 650°C. The sawdust ash (SDA) and pawpaw leaf ash (PPLA) were sieved and large particles retained on the 600µm sieve were discarded while those passing the sieve were used for this work. No grinding or any special treatment to improve the quality of the ashes and enhance their pozzolanicity was applied. The SDA had a bulk density of 800 Kg/m<sup>3</sup>, specific gravity of 2.00, and fineness modulus of 1.87. The PPLA had a bulk density of 780 Kg/m<sup>3</sup>, specific gravity of 1.85, and fineness modulus of 1.34. Other materials used for this work are Ibeto brand of Ordinary Portland Cement (OPC) with a bulk density of 1650 Kg/m<sup>3</sup> and specific gravity of 3.13; river sand free from debris and organic materials with a bulk density of 1590 Kg/m<sup>3</sup>, specific gravity of 2.68, and fineness modulus of 2.82; laterite also free from debris and organic materials with a bulk density of 1450 Kg/m<sup>3</sup>, specific gravity of 2.30, and fineness modulus of 3.30; and water free from organic impurities.

A simple form of pozzolanicity test was carried out for each of the ashes. It consists of mixing a given mass of the ash with a given volume of Calcium hydroxide solution  $[Ca(OH)_2]$  of known concentration and titrating samples of the mixture against  $H_2SO_4$  solution of known concentration at time intervals of 30, 60, 90, and 120 minutes using Methyl Orange as indicator at normal temperature. For each of the ashes the titre value was observed to reduce with time, confirming the ash as a pozzolan that fixed more and more of the calcium hydroxide, thereby reducing the alkalinity of the mixture.

A standard mix ratio of 1:6 (blended cement: sand (or laterite)) was used for both the sandcrete and the soilcrete blocks. Batching was by weight and a constant water/cement ratio of 0.6 was used. Mixing was done manually on a smooth concrete pavement. For binary blending with OPC, each of the ashes was first thoroughly blended with OPC at the required proportion and the homogenous blend was then mixed with the sand in the case of sandcrete blocks and with laterite in the case of soilcrete blocks, also at the required proportions. For ternary blending, the two ashes were first blended in equal proportions and subsequently blended with OPC at the required proportions before mixing with the sand or laterite, also at the required proportions. Water was then added gradually and the entire sandcreteor soilcreteheap was mixed thoroughly to ensure homogeneity.

One hundred and thirty-five (135) solid sandcrete blocks and one hundred and thirty-five (135) solid soilcrete blocks of 450mm x 225mm x 125mm were produced with OPC-SDAbinary blended cement, one hundred and thirty-five (135) with OPC-PPLAbinary blended cement, and one hundred and thirty-five (135) with OPC-SDA-PPLAternary blended cement, each at percentage OPC replacement with pozzolan of 5%, 10%, 15%, 20%, and 25%. Twenty seven (27) sandcreteblocks and twenty seven (27) soilcrete blocks were also produced with 100% OPC or 0% replacement with pozzolan to serve as control. This gives a total of 432 sandcrete blocks and 432 soilcrete blocks.All the blocks were cured by water sprinkling twice a day in a shed. Three sandcrete blocks and three soilcreteblocks for each OPC-pozzolan mix and the control were tested for saturated surface dry bulk density and crushed to obtain their compressive strengths at 3, 7, 14, 21, 28, 50, 90, 120, and 150 days of curing.

# III. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The pozzolanicity test confirmed both the SDA and the PPLA as pozzolans since they fixed some quantities of lime over time. The particle size analysis showed that both ashes were much coarser than OPC, the reason being that they were not ground to finer particles. This implies that the compressive strength values obtained using them could still be improved upon if the ashes are ground to finer particles. The compressive strengths of the OPC-SDA and OPC-PPLA binary blended cement sandcrete and soilcrete blocks as well as the OPC-SDA-PPLA ternary blended cement sandcrete and soilcreteblocks are shown in tables 1, 2, and 3 for 3-14 days, 21-50 days, and 90-150 days of curing respectively.

OPC	Con	pressive S	trength of	sandcrete	blocks (N/	Con	Compressive Strength of soilcrete blocks (N/mm <sup>2</sup> )						
Plus	0%	5%	10%	15%	20%	0%	5%	10%	15%	20%	25%		
	Poz.	Poz.	Poz.	Poz.	Poz.	Poz.	Poz.	Poz.	Poz.	Poz.	Poz.	Poz.	
			Strength	at 3 days		Strength at 3 days							
SDA	0.90	0.70	0.60	0.55	0.50	0.45	0.80	0.60	0.50	0.45	0.35	0.30	
PPL	0.90	0.65	0.60	0.50	0.45	0.40	0.80	0.55	0.50	0.40	0.35	0.30	
A SDA	0.90	0.65	0.60	0.50	0.50	0.40	0.80	0.60	0.55	0.45	0.35	0.30	
&													
PPL													
Α													
			Strength	at 7 days		Strength at 7 days							
SDA	1.50	1.30	1.20	1.15	1.00	0.90	1.30	1.10	1.00	0.90	0.80	0.65	
PPL	1.50	1.25	1.20	1.10	0.95	0.90	1.30	1.05	1.00	0.80	0.75	0.60	
Α													
SDA	1.50	1.25	1.20	1.10	1.00	0.90	1.30	1.10	1.00	0.85	0.80	0.60	
&													
PPL													
Α			~										
			Strength	at 14 days			Strength at 14 days						
SDA	2.70	2.40	2.30	2.20	2.05	1.90	2.30	2.00	1.90	1.80	1.65	1.50	
PPL	2.70	2.35	2.25	2.10	2.00	1.90	2.30	1.90	1.80	1.75	1.60	1.50	
SDA	2.70	2.35	2.25	2.15	2.00	1.90	2.30	1.95	1.90	1.75	1.60	1.55	
&		2.00			2.00	1.70	2.00	1.75	1.20	1	1.00	1.00	
PPL													
Α													

Table 1. Compressive strength of blended OPC-SDA-PPLA cement sandcrete and soilcreteblocks at 3-14 d	avs of curing

Table 2. Compressive strength of blended OPC-SDA-PPLA cement sandcrete and soilcreteblocks at 21-50 days of curing

OPC	Compressive Strength of sandcrete blocks (N/mm <sup>2</sup> )						Compressive Strength of soilcrete blocks (N/mm <sup>2</sup> )								
Plus	0%	5%	10%	15%	20%	25%	0%	5%	10%	15%	20%	25			
	Poz.	Poz.	Poz.	Poz.	Poz.	Poz.	Poz.	Poz.	Poz.	Poz.	Poz.	%			
												Poz			
	Strength at 21 days							Strength at 21 days							
SDA	3.50	3.20	3.10	3.05	2.95	2.70	3.10	2.70	2.60	2.50	2.45	2.3			
												0			
PPLA	3.50	3.10	3.00	2.90	2.85	2.70	3.10	2.70	2.55	2.45	2.40	2.2			
												5			
SDA &	3.50	3.15	3.05	2.95	2.90	2.75	3.10	2.70	2.60	2.45	2.40	2.3			
PPLA												0			
			Strength	at 28 days			Strength at 28 days								
SDA	4.40	4.15	4.00	3.90	3.85	3.65	3.90	3.60	3.50	3.40	3.30	3.2			
												5			
PPLA	4.40	4.10	3.90	3.80	3.75	3.50	3.90	3.50	3.40	3.25	3.20	3.2			
												5			
SDA &	4.40	4.10	3.90	3.85	3.80	3.60	3.90	3.50	3.40	3.35	3.25	3.2			
PPLA												0			
			Strength	at 50 days			Strength at 50 days								
SDA	4.70	4.65	4.60	4.50	4.45	4.25	4.30	4.40	4.30	4.10	4.00	3.9			
												0			
PPLA	4.70	4.60	4.50	4.40	4.35	4.20	4.30	4.35	4.30	4.15	4.05	3.9			
												5			
SDA &	4.70	4.60	4.50	4.45	4.40	4.20	4.30	4.35	4.30	4.15	4.00	3.9			
PPLA				1								0			

### Table 3. Compressive strength of blended OPC-SDA-PPLA cement sandcrete and soilcreteblocks at 90-150 days of curing

OPC	Compressive Strength of sandcrete blocks (N/mm <sup>2</sup> )							Compressive Strength of soilcrete blocks (N/mm <sup>2</sup> )						
Plus	0%	5%	10%	15%	20%	25%	0%	5%	10%	15%	20%	25%		
	Poz.	Poz.	Poz.	Poz.	Poz.	Poz.	Poz.	Poz.	Poz.	Poz.	Poz.	Poz.		
	Strength at 90 days							Strength at 90 days						
SDA	4.90	5.10	5.00	4.85	4.70	4.50	4.50	4.80	4.70	4.55	4.40	4.25		
PPLA	4.90	5.10	4.95	4.90	4.80	4.55	4.50	4.85	4.75	4.60	4.45	4.30		
SDA	4.90	5.10	5.00	4.85	4.75	4.50	4.50	4.80	4.70	4.60	4.50	4.30		
&														
PPLA														
	Strength at 120 days							Strength at 120 days						
SDA	5.10	5.65	5.50	5.40	5.25	5.15	4.70	5.10	5.00	4.90	4.75	4.60		
PPLA	5.10	5.70	5.55	5.40	5.30	5.15	4.70	5.00	5.00	4.95	4.80	4.65		

SDA	5.10	5.70	5.50	5.40	5.30	5.20	4.70	5.10	5.00	4.90	4.80	4.65			
&															
PPLA															
	Strength at 150 days							Strength at 150 days							
SDA	5.20	5.95	5.90	5.80	5.65	5.25	4.80	5.20	5.10	4.95	4.85	4.70			
PPLA	5.20	6.00	5.90	5.75	5.70	5.30	4.80	5.25	5.10	5.00	4.90	4.70			
SDA	5.20	6.00	5.90	5.75	5.70	5.25	4.80	5.20	5.10	5.00	4.90	4.70			
&															
PPLA															

As can be seen in tables 1, 2, and 3, the strength values for OPC-SDA and OPC-PPLA binary blended cement sandcrete and soilcrete blocks as well as those of OPC-SDA-PPLA ternary blended cement sandcrete and soilcrete blocks were all less than the equivalent control values at 3-28 days of hydration for all percentage replacements of OPC with pozzolans. The strength values of the binary and ternary blended cement sandcrete and soilcrete blocks were the same with the equivalent control values at about 50 days of hydration and greater than the control values at curing ages beyond 50 days. The 150-day strength values for OPC-SDA-PPLA ternary blended cement sandcrete blocks were respectively 6.00N/mm<sup>2</sup> and 5.20N/mm<sup>2</sup> for 5% replacement, 5.90N/mm<sup>2</sup> and 5.10N/mm<sup>2</sup> for 10% replacement; while the control values were 5.20N/mm<sup>2</sup> and 4.80N/mm<sup>2</sup>. The lower strength values of blended cement sandcrete and soilcrete blocks at earlier days of hydration shows that pozzolanic reaction was not yet much at those earlier periods; the pozzolanic reaction became higher at later ages and this accounts for the much increase in strength of blended cement sandcrete and soilcrete blocks compared to the control specimens.

It can also be seen from tables 1-3 that the strength values of OPC-SDA binary blended cement sandcrete and soilcreteblocks were consistently marginally greater than those of OPC-PPLA binary blended cementsandcrete and soilcreteblocks for all percentage replacements of OPC with pozzolans at 3-50 days of hydration. The strength values for the two binary blended cement composites became approximate equal at curing ages greater than 50 days. This suggests that the pozzolanic reaction set in earlier for the OPC-SDA binary blended cement composites than for the OPC-PPLA binary blended cement specimens. The strength of the OPC-SDA-PPLA ternary blended cement sandcrete and soilcreteblocks was consistently in-between the values of the OPC-SDA and OPC-PPLA binary blended cement sandcrete and soilcrete blocks. Therefore, more SDA than PPLA should be utilized if the two pozzolans were to be used in unequal proportions to optimize the early strength of the OPC-SDA and OPC-PPLA binary blended cement sandcrete and soilcrete blocks. However, the closeness in strength of the OPC-SDA and OPC-PPLA binary blended cement sandcrete and soilcrete blocks was in-between these values suggests that the two agricultural by-product pozzolans could be combined in any available proportions individually in binary blending or together in ternary blending with OPC in making blended cement sandcrete and soilcrete blocks.

The results in tables 1 to 3 further show that the values of soilcreteblock strength are consistently less than the corresponding values of sandcrete block strength for all percentages of OPC replacement with pozzolans and at all curing ages. This confirms that sand is better than laterite as fine aggregate material in making cement composites. However, a close examination of the results shows that the values of the soilcrete block strengths are not much different from those of sandcrete block strengths. For example, the 50-day strengths are 4.70N/mm<sup>2</sup> for sandcrete block and 4.30N/mm<sup>2</sup> for soilcrete block at 100% OPC and 4.50N/mm<sup>2</sup> for sandcrete block at 10% replacement of OPC with SDA-PPLA in ternary blending. This also confirms that laterite could be used as sole fine aggregate in making cement composites for low-cost houses in communities where sharp sand is difficult to obtain at affordable prices.

### **IV. CONCLUSIONS**

OPC-SDA and OPC-PPLA binary blended cement sandcrete and soilcreteblocks as well as OPC-SDA-PPLA ternary blended cementsandcrete andsoilcreteblocks have compressive strength values less than those of 100% OPC sandcrete and soilcreteblocks for 5-25% replacement of OPC with pozzolans at 3-28 days of hydration. The blended cement sandcrete and soilcreteblock strength values become equal to the control values at about 50 days of curing and greater than the control values beyond 50 days of hydration. Thus, OPC-SDA and OPC-PPLA binary blended cements as well as OPC-SDA-PPLA ternary blended cement could be used in producing sandcrete and soilcreteblocks with sufficient strength for use in building and minor civil engineering works where the need for high early strength is not a critical factor.

The strength of OPC-SDA binary blended cement sandcrete and soilcreteblocks is consistently greater than that of OPC-PPLA binary blended cement specimens for all percentage replacements of OPC with pozzolans at 3-50 days of hydration, but they are approximately equal at curing ages beyond 50 days. The strength values of OPC-SDA-PPLA ternary blended cement sandcrete and soilcreteblocks were consistently inbetween the values of OPC-SDA and OPC-PPLA binary blended cement sandcrete and soilcrete blocks. This suggests that more SDA should be used than PPLA if the two pozzolans were to be used in unequal proportions to optimize the early strength of the OPC-SDA-PPLA ternary blended cementsandcrete and soilcrete blocks.

Moreover, the closeness in strength values of OPC-SDA and OPC-PPLA binary blended cement sandcrete blocks (this closeness was also observed for soilcrete blocks) and the fact that the strength of the OPC-SDA-PPLA ternary blended cement sandcrete blocks was in-between these values suggests that the two agricultural by-product pozzolans could be combined in any available proportions individually in binary blending or together in ternary blending with OPC in making blended cement sandcrete blocks for use in various Nigerian communities.

The strength values of soilcrete blocks were found to be less than those of sandcrete blocks for all percentages of OPC replacement with pozzolans and at all curing ages. Therefore, sand should be used in preference to laterite for making cement blocks. However, since the soilcrete block strengths were not much less than the equivalent sandcrete block strengths, good quality laterite could still be used for block making in the various communities where sand is scarce and unaffordable to the rural populace.

#### REFERENCES

- [1] Adewuyi, A.P., & Ola, B. F. (2005). Application of waterworks sludge as partial replacementfor cement in concrete production. Science Focus Journal, 10(1): 123-130.
- [2] Agbede, I. O., &Obam, S. O. (2008). Compressive Strength of Rice Husk Ash-CementSandcrete Blocks.Global Journal of Engineering Research, Vol. 7 (1), pp. 43-46.
- [3] Bakar, B. H. A., Putrajaya, R. C., &Abdulaziz, H. (2010).Malaysian Saw dust ash –Improving the Durability and Corrosion Resistance of Concrete: Pre-review. Concrete Research Letters, 1 (1): 6-13, March 2010.
- [4] Baiden, B. K. and Tuuli, M. M. (2004). Impact of quality control practices in sandcrete blocks production. Journal of Architectural Engineering, 10 (2): 53-60.
- [5] Cisse, I. K., &Laquerbe, M. (2000). Mechanical characterization of sandcretes with rice husk ash additions: study applied to Senegal. Cement and Concrete Research, 30 (1): 13–18.
- [6] Cordeiro, G. C., Filho, R. D. T., & Fairbairn, E. D. R. (2009). Use of ultrafine saw dust ash with high-carbon content as pozzolan in high performance concrete. Materials and Structures, 42: 983–992. DOI 10.1617/s11527-008-9437-z.
- [7] De Sensale, G. R. (2006). Strength development of concrete with rice-husk ash.Cement & Concrete Composites, 28: 158–160.
- [8] Elinwa, A. U., & Abdulkadir, S. (2011). Characterizing Sawdust-ash for Use as an Inhibitorfor Reinforcement Corrosion. New Clues in Sciences, 1: 1-10.
- [9] Elinwa, A. U., & Awari, A. (2001). Groundnut husk ash concrete. Nigerian Journal of Engineering Management, 2 (1), 8 15.
- [10] Elinwa, A. U., Ejeh, S. P., &Akpabio, I. O. (2005). Using metakaolin to improve sawdust-ash concrete. Concrete International, 27 (11), 49 - 52.
- [11] Elinwa, A. U., Ejeh, S. P., &Mamuda, M. A. (2008). Assessing of the fresh concrete properties of self-compacting concrete containing sawdust ash. Construction and Building Materials Journal, 22: 1178 - 1182.
- [12] Fadzil, A. M., Azmi, M. J. M., Hisyam, A. B. B., & Azizi, M. A. K. (2008). Engineering Properties of Ternary Blended Cement Containing Rice Husk Ash and Fly Ash as Partial Cement Replacement Materials. ICCBT, A (10): 125 – 134.
- [13] Fri'as, M., Villar-Cocin<sup>\*</sup>a, E., Sa'nchez-de-Rojas, M. I., & Valencia-Morales, E. (2005). The effect that different pozzolanic activity methods has on the kinetic constants of the pozzolanic reaction in sugar cane straw-clay ash/lime systems: Application of a kinetic– diffusive model. Cement and Concrete Research, 35: 2137 – 2142.
- [14] Ganesan, K., Rajagopal, K., and Thangavel, K. (2008). Rice husk ash blended cement: assessment of optimal level of replacement for strength and permeability properties of concrete. Constr. Build. Mater., 22(8):1675-1683.
- [15] Habeeb, G. A., & Fayyadh, M. M. (2009). Saw dust ash Concrete: the Effect of SDA Average Particle Size on Mechanical Properties and Drying Shrinkage. Australian Journal of Basic and Applied Sciences, 3(3): 1616-1622.
- [16] Malhotra, V. M., & Mehta, P. K. (2004).Pozzolanic and Cementitious Materials. London: Taylor & Francis.
- [17] Mehta, P. K. & Pirtz, D. (2000). Use of rice husk ash to reduce temperature in high strengthmass concrete. ACI Journal Proceedings, 75:60-63.
- [18] Nair, D. G., Jagadish, K. S., and Fraaij, A. (2006). Reactive pozzolanas from rice husk ash: An alternative to cement for rural housing. CemConcr. Res., 36 (6): 1062-1071.
- [19] Oyekan, G. L. and Kamiyo, O. M. (2011). A study on the engineering properties of sandcreteblocks produced with rice husk ash blended cement. Journal of Engineering and Technology Research, 3(3): 88-98.
- [20] Rukzon, S., & Chindaprasirt, P. (2006). Strength of ternary blended cement mortar containing Portland cement, rice husk ash and fly ash.J. Eng. Inst. Thailand, 17: 33-38 (547-551).
- [21] Rukzon, S., Chindaprasirt, P., & Mahachai, R. (2009). Effect of grinding on chemical and physical properties of saw dust ash. International Journal of Minerals, Metallurgy and Materials, 16 (2): 242-247.
- [22] Sakr, K. (2006). Effects of Silica Fume and Rice Husk Ash on the Properties of Heavy Weight Concrete. Journal of Materials in Civil Engineering, 18(3): 367-376.
- [23] Saraswathy, V., & Song, H. (2007). Corrosion performance of rice husk ash blended concrete. Construction and Building Materials, 21 (8): p.1779–1784.
- [24] Tyagher, S. T., Utsev, J. T., and Adagba, T. (2011). Suitability of Sawdust Ash-Lime Mixture for Production of Sandcrete Hollow Blocks.Nigerian Journal of Technology, 30 (1): 79-84.
- [25] Wada, I., Kawano, T., & Mokotomaeda, N. (2000). Strength properties of concrete incorporating highly reactive rice-husk ash. Transaction of Japan Concrete Institute, 21 (1): p. 57–62.
- [26] Wenapere, D. A. and Ephraim, M. E. (2009). Physico-mechanical behaviour of sandcreteblock masonry units. Journal of Building Appraisal 4: 301–309. doi:10.1057/jba.2009.8